

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/943,984	HUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.

(2) Mark Wilson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 July 2005

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Nonw

Claims discussed:

106,150

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed allowance of the claims and that nonelected claims were not enabled for administering an E1A protein. These claims would need to be canceled or prosecution resumed. Mr. Wilson agreed to cancel the claims with prejudice or disclaimer for prosecution in a continuing application..